

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,291	01/18/2002	Sutapa Bhaduri	IDRF113	4833
7	7590 09/24/2003			
	McKinney, PLLC		EXAMINER	
802 W. Bannock, Suite 400 P.O. Box 298 Boise, ID 83701-0298			BUMGARNER, MELBA N	
Doise, ID 63	01-0298		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		F	3732 DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		۱ΛΛ			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/052,291	BHADURI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Melba Bumgarner	3732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	days will be considered timely. Tom the mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under I Disposition of Claims					
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner		•			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•				
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applic	cation No			
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been	received.			
Attachment(s)	,,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-7, drawn to a solid part, classified in class 428, subclass 547.
 - II. Claims 8-11, drawn to a prosthesis, classified in class 623, subclass 16.
 - III. Claims 12-15, drawn to a dental implant, classified in class 433, subclass 174.
 - IV. Claims 16,17, 22, and 23, drawn to a method, classified in class 419, subclass 38.
 - V. Claims 18-21 and 24-26, drawn to a method, classified in class 419, subclass 35.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, invention II can only be used as a prosthesis and invention I has a multitude of uses, they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different functions.
- 3. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, invention III can only be used as a dental implant and invention I has a

Application/Control Number: 10/052,291 Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

multitude of uses, they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different functions.

- 4. Inventions I and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, invention I can be made from material other than a metal powder; therefore, the product of invention I can be made by another and materially different process that does not compact metal powder.
- 5. Inventions I and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the product of a solid part and method including the step of coating are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different effects.
- 6. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, invention III can only be used as a dental implant and invention II can be used as a prosthesis, they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different functions.
- 7. Inventions II and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/052,291

Art Unit: 3732

process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, invention II can be made from material other than a metal powder; therefore, the product of invention II can be made by another and materially different process that does not compact metal powder.

- 8. Inventions II and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, invention II can be made from material other than a metal powder; therefore, the product of invention II can be made by another and materially different process that does not compact metal powder.
- 9. Inventions III and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, invention III can be made from material other than a metal powder; therefore, the product of invention III can be made by another and materially different process that does not compact metal powder.
- 10. Inventions III and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2)

Application/Control Number: 10/052,291

Art Unit: 3732

that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, invention III can be made from material other than a metal powder; therefore, the product of invention III can be made by another and materially different process that does not compact metal powder.

- 11. Inventions IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, invention V comprises the step of coating whereas invention IV does not, they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different effects.
- 12. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 13. A telephone call was made to Steven Ormiston on September 16, 2003 to attempt to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

14. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 3732

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 703-305-0740. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-2708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Melba Bumgarner

Patent Examiner